Version 3, October 2008

OLIN-WILMINGTON LEVEL I DATA QUALITY EVALUATION STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE AND CHECKLIST WET CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS BY VARIOUS METHODS

OLIN-WILMINGTON	Reviewer/Date ligh Conning ham G/Z1/10 Sr. Review/Date Chris Ricards 6/25/16
LEVEL I DATA QUALITY EVALUATION	
NDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE AND CHECKLIST	Lab Report # TAL West Field 360-26874-
CHEMISTRY PARAMETERS BY VARIOUS METHODS	Project # 6107100016-12
Ammonia and pH only in OC-GW-16	R

Note: The following analyses will be evaluated according to the "MADEP QA/QC Guidelines for Sampling, Data Evaluation and Reporting Activities." MADEP, however, may not list QA/QC criteria for every chemical analysis. Where not defined by MADEP, criteria will default to values stipulated in the QAPP. Where the QAPP does

	not define criteria, QA/QC requirements will default to limits employed by the laboratory.										
.0 Laboratory Deliverable Requirements											
	1.1 Laboratory Information: Was all of the following provided in the laboratory report? Check items received.	P Yes No No N/A Comments:									
	☐ Name of Laboratory ☐ Address ☐ Project ID ☐ Phone #	Sample identification – Field and Laboratory									
	☐ Name of Laboratory ☐ Address ☐ Project ID ☐ Phone # Client Information: ☐ Name ☐ Address ☐ Client Contact	(IDs must be cross-referenced)									
CTIC	ON: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or illegible information.										
	1.2 Laboratory Report Certification Statement	Yes [No [] N/A [] Comments:									
	Does the laboratory report include a completed Analytical Report Certification in the	required format?									
CTIO	N: If no, contact lab for submission of missing certification or certification with correct	t format.									
	1.3 Laboratory Case Narrative:	Yes [\(\sum \) No [] N/A [] Comments:									
	Marrative serves as an exception report for the project and method QA/QC performance	re. Narrative includes an explanation of each discrepancy on a Certification Statement.	the								
CTIO	N: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or illegible information.										
	1.4 Chain of Custody (COC) copy present with all documentation completed?	Yes No No N/A Comments:									
	Does the laboratory report include copies of Chain of Custody forms containing all samples i	in this SDG?									
	NOTE: Olin receives and maintains the <i>original</i> COC.	*									
CTIO	N: If no, contact lab for submission of copy of missing completed COC.										
	1.5 Sample Receipt Information (Cooler Receipt Form): Were each of the following										

tasks completed and recorded upon receipt of the sample(s) into the laboratory?

	Yes V	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:				
Sample temperature confirmed: must be 1° – 10° C. (If samples were sent by courier and delivered on the same day as collection, temperature requirement does not apply).								
Container type noted Condition observed pH verified (where applicable) Field and lab II	Ds cross refer	enced						
ACTION: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or incomplete documentation. 1.5.1 Were the correct bottles and preservatives used? Ammonia,— 1 Liter polyethylene/H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2,cool to 4°C Oil & Grease — 1 Liter glass/HCL or H2SO4 to pH<2,cool to 4°C Alkalinity — 1 Liter polyethylene/cool to 4°C	Yes 🗹	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:				
Chemical Oxygen Demand – 50 mL polyethylene/H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2,cool to 4°C Chloride, pH, sulfate, nitrate, nitrite - 50 mL polyethylene/cool to 4°C Nitrate/nitrite - H2SO ₄ to pH<2,cool to 4°C Organic Carbon – 500 mL amber glass bottle/HCl or H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2,cool to 4°C Sulfide – 50 mL polyethylene/ZnAcetate + NaOH to pH>9, cool to 4°C								
Phenolics - H ₂ SO ₄ to pH<2,cool to 4°C Specific conductance, TDS, TSS – 100 mL polyethylene/cool to 4°C ACTION: If no, inform senior chemist. Document justification for change in container/volume (if applicable), qualify positive and non-detect data (J) data if cooler								
temperature exceeds 10°C. Rejection of data requires professional judgment 1.5.2 Were all samples delivered to the laboratory without breakage?	Yes	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:				
1.5.3 Does the Cooler Receipt Form or Lab Narrative indicate other problems with sample receipt, condition of the samples, analytical problems or special circumstances affecting the quality of the data?	Yes []	No I	N/A [_]	Comments:				

1.6 Sample Results report for each sa	Section: Was the following ample?	information supplied in the l	aboratory Yes N	lo [] N/A []	Comments:
Field ID and Lab ID Clean-up method N/A	Date and time collected Analysis method	Analyst Initials Preparation method	Dilution Factor	tor	oisture or solids WA Reporting limit
☐ Matrix ☐	Target analytes and concentr	ations	Units (soils must be re	eported in dry weight)	
ACTION: If no, contact lab for	or submission of missing or inc	omplete information.			
1.7 QA/QC Information for each sample batch	tion: Was the following inform?	nation provided in the laborate	ory report Yes N	lo [_] N/A [_]	Comments:
Method blank results	LCS recoveries MS/MSE	recoveries and RPDs	aboratory duplicate results	(where applicable)	
ACTION: If no, contact lab for	or submission of missing or inco	implete information.			÷
2.0 <u>Holding Times</u>			Yes N	No [_] N/A]	Comments:
Have any technical ho	olding times, determined from	date of collection to date of a	malysis, been exceeded?	The holding times are	as follows:
	onia, chemical oxygen demano				
Alkalinity = 14		e, TDS, TSS = 7 days	pH = analyze immediate		e nitrogen as N = 48 hrs
Nitrite nitrogen	W3	e + Nitrite as N = 28 days		(10/10/10	011-12/8/12
	that exceed hold time with # of	days exceeded on checklist	Colle	cital x11/10	aughted 2/18/10 Qual OC-GW-16R
			s that are grossly exceeded	d (>2X hold time) rej	ect (R) all non-detect results. Professional
3.0 Laboratory	Method		Yes N	No [] N/A []	Comments:
3.1 Was the correct la	boratory method used?				
ACTION: If no, contact lab to	provide justification for metho	d change compared to the requ	ested method. Contact sen	nior chemist to inform	Client of change or to request variance.

	3.2 Are the practical quantitation li ☐ QAPP/IRSWP ☐ Lab?	mits the same as those specified	by the Yes No No N/A	Comments:
	Note: The MADEP QA/QC Guidelines do therefore all criteria will default to values stip define criteria, QA/QC requirements defaumay also apply.	oulated in the QAPP*. Where the QAPP	does not	
	Ammonia* ≠ = 0.1 mg/ L	Alkalinity** $\square = 1 \text{ mg/L}$	Bicarbonate Alkalinity** $\square = 1 \text{ mg/L}$	Carbonate Alkalinity** $\square = 1 \text{ mg/L}$
	Nitrate Nitrogen as N* □ = .05 mg/L	Nitrite Nitrogen as N* □ = .01 mg/L	Chloride* $\square = 1 \text{ mg/L}$	Hardness $*\Box = 2 \text{ mg/L}$
	Spec. Cond.** □ 3 umhos/cm	Total Organic Carbon** □ = 1 mg/L	Oil & Grease* $\square = 5.5 \text{ mg/L}$	Sulfate (EPA 300.0)* $\square = 2 \text{ mg/L}$
	COD:* Low – 20 mg/L	COD* High - 50 mg/L □	$TDS* \square = 10 \text{ mg/L}$	TSS* $\square = 5 \text{ mg/L}$
	pH*	Phenolic - 0.01 mg/L		
	Other parameter(list)		Source of PQL =	
	Other parameter(list)	PQL = □	Source of PQL =	
ACTIO	N: If no, evaluate change with respect to san	nple matrix, preparation, dilution, moist	ture, etc. If sample PQL is indeterminate, contact	lab for explanation.
	3.3 Are the appropriate parameter results pr	esent for each sample in the SDG?	Yes No No N/A	Comments:
ACTIC	ON: If no, check Request for Analysis to verif	y if method was ordered and COC to ve	erify that it was sent, and contact lab for resubmis	sion of the missing data
	3.4 If dilutions were required, were dilution	factors reported?	Yes 🗹 No 🔲 N/A 📋	Comments:
ACTIO	N: If no, contact the lab for submission.	a		
4.0	Method Blanks		Yes No No N/A	Comments:
	4.1 Are the Method Blank Summaries prese	ent?		
ACTIC	ON: If no, call the laboratory for submission of	of missing data.	<i>y</i>	
	4.2 Was a method blank analyzed for each 20 or less?	analysis batch of wet chemistry field sa	amples of Yes [V] No [] N/A []	Comments:

ACTIO	N: If no,	document discrepancy in case narrative and contact lab for justification. Consult senio	or chemist fo	or action need	ded.	
	4.3 Is the	e method blank less than the PQL? (See Section 3.2 for PQLs).	Yes 🔼	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
	4.4 Do a	any method blanks have positive results for wet chemistry parameters? Qualify data g to the following:	Yes [No	N/A []	Comments:
		mple concentration is $<$ 5 \times blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at the the concentration reported if greater than the PQL.				a ·
	If the sa	mple concentration is $> 5 \times$ blank value, no qualification is needed.				
ACTIO qualifie		y blank has positive results, list all the concentrations detected and flagging level (fla	gging level =	= 5 × blank v	value) on the c	hecklist. List all affected samples and their
5.0	Labora	tory Control Standards				
	5.1	Was a laboratory control standard (LCS) run with each analytical batch of 20 samples or less?	Yes 🗹	No []	N/A [_]	Comments:
		, call laboratory for LCS form submittal. If data is not available, use professional mine qualification actions for data associated with the batch.		,		
	5.2	Is a LCS Summary Form present?	Yes [No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
ACTIC	N: If no,	contact lab for resubmission of missing data.				
	5.3	Is any wet chemistry analyte LCS recovery outside the control limits?	Yes []	No [N/A [_]	Comments:

WET CHEM.doc

	LCS L	imits:						
	Alkalinity** $\square = 80-120\%$ Bicarbonate Alkalinity** $\square = 80-120\%$ TDS** $\square = 80-120\%$ COD Low* $\square = 80-120\%$ COD High* $\square = 80-120\%$ Chloride* $\square = 80-120\%$			Carbonate Alkalinity Oil & Grease* □ = Nitrate Nitrogen a Sulfate (EPA 300.0	80-120% as N**□ =	= 80-120%	Specific Conductivity *E Ammonia Nitrogen as N Nitrite Nitrogen as N* pH* = 98-102%	ı* □ =80-120%
	Other pa	rameter(list)	%R =	☐ Rec Limits=			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Other pa	rameter(list)	%R =		□ Rec Li	mits =		
			(MADEP has not yet defined LCS reco	very limits for wet che	mistry ana	alyses.)		
within t	the batch a	s (J). If LCS recovery is <10%, Spikes	qualify all positive sample results within th non-detect results are rejected (R).		ery is belov	v the lower li	mit, qualify all positive an	d no-detect result
			requencies based on monthly, quarterly ents for each set with the senior chemis					
ACTIO	ON: If no,	contact senior chemist to see if a		spiked. Yes []	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:	
ACTIO	6.2 ON : If no,	Is the MS/MSD Recovery For contact lab for resubmission of	ATT-0	Yes	No []	N/A [_]	Comments:	
	6.3	Were matrix spikes analyzed matrix?	at the required frequency of 1 per 20 sar	nples per Yes []	No []	N/A []	Comments:	
ACTIO	ON : If any	matrix spike data is missing, ca	all lab for resubmission.					
	6.4	Are any wet chemistry analyte	spike recoveries outside of the QC limits?	Yes []	No []	N/A []	Comments:	

	NOTE: $\frac{\%R}{SA} = \frac{(SS)}{S}$	SR-SR) x 100% SA = Spike added	Where: SSR = Spiked sample rest SR = Sample rest
	MS/MSD Recovery Limits:		
	Alkalinity* = NA	Bicarbonate Alkalinity* = NA	Carbonate alkalinity* = NA Ammonia* (LACHAT) = 75-125%
	Chloride*(SM 4500 Cl) □= 75-125%	Specific Conductivity * = NA	Total Organic Carbon* = NA TDS** = NA
	Oil & Grease* = NA	COD Low* $\Box = 75-125\%$	COD High* $\square = 75-125\%$ Nitrate Nitrogen as N** $\square = 75-125\%$
	Nitrite Nitrogen as N** $\square = 75-125\%$	Hardness* $\square = 75-125\%$	Sulfate (EPA 300.0)* $\Box = 75-125\%$ pH* = NA TSS* = NA
	Other parameter(list)	% R =	□ Rec Limits =
	* = Laboratory Limits ** = C	Olin QAPP Limits (MADEP has not	yet defined LCS recovery limits for wet chemistry analyses.)
		or an MS/MSD pair is outside of the cond by the laboratory on a non-project samp	trol limits, no qualification is necessary. Use professional judgment for the MS/MSD flags ole, no qualification is required.
qualify	ON: MS/MSD flags only apply to the sample positive results as estimated (J). If the record D recovery is < 30% and the sample is non-control of the sample is no	veries of the MS and MSD are lower that	entration is $> 4X$ spike. If the recoveries of the MS and MSD exceed the upper control liming the lower control limit but $> 30\%$, qualify both positive results and non-detects (J). If the and flagged (R).
	ON: Laboratory control limits apply when sped, but no flags are applied.	piked sample results fall within the norn	nal calibration range. If dilutions are required due to high sample concentrations, the data
	6.5 Are any RPDs for MS/MSD recoveries	outside of the QA/QC limits?	Yes No No N/A Comments:
	NOTE: RPD = $\frac{S - D}{(S + D)/2}$ x 100% Wh		Yes No No N/A Comments:
	MS/MSD RPD Limits:		
	RPD ≤20		
7.0	Laboratory Duplicate		
	Are the RPDs for the laboratory duplicate	s <20% unless otherwise specified belo	w? Yes [No No N/A Comments:

ACTIC	N: If the RPD is	greater than spec	fied limits, qualify all results for t	hat analyte as estimated (J)				8		
	pH* $\square = 3\%$ Specific Conductivity * $\square = 5\%$ TSS** $\square = 6\%$					Е	TDS** □ = 6%			
8.0	Sampling Accu	iracy								
			are collected directly from a vill not be collected.	tap, process stream, or			×			
		te blanks collecte bles from the senio	d? Prior to evaluating rinsate bl	anks, obtain a list of the	Yes []	No [N/A [_]	Comments:		
	8.2 Do any rinsa	ate blanks have po	sitive results?		Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A	Comments:		
ACTIO	ACTION: Evaluate rinsate results vs. blank results to determine if contaminant may be laboratory-derived. If not lab-related, qualify according to the table below. If the sample concentration is < 5 × blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at the PQL or the concentration reported if greater than the PQL. If the sample concentration is > 5 × blank value, no qualification is needed.								ow.	
NOTE:	MADEP does	not require the c	ollection of rinsate blanks.							
9.0	9.1 Were fie field duplic	eld duplicate samp	les collected? Obtain a list of sar	nples and their associated	Yes [No [N/A [_]	Comments:		
QA			ed per the required frequency? 1(1 per 20) MADEP Op	tion 3 (1 per 10) □	Yes []	No [_]	N/A [J	Comments:		
	9.3 Was the RP attach to this I		rs≤ 50% for soils? Calculate tl	ne RPD for results and	Yes []	No []	N/A	Comments:		

ACTION:. Qualify data (J) for both sample results if the RPD exceeded.

Was any of the data qualified?

Yes No No NA Comments:

If so, apply data qualifiers directly to the DQE copy of laboratory report and flag pages for entry in database.

PH was Qualified estimated (I) due to hold time exceedence.

REFERENCES:-

MACTEC, 2007. "Draft Interim Response Steps Work Plan"; Olin Chemical Superfund Site, 51 Eames Street, Wilmington, Massachusetts.; Project No. 6300-06-0010/41.1; July 25, 2007.

Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MADEP), 2004. "The Compendium of Quality Assurance and Quality Control Requirements and Performance Standards for Selected Analytical Methods Used in Support of Response Actions for the Massachusetts Contingency Plan (MCP)"; Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup; 1 Winter Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108; WSC-CAM; May 2004.